Sponsorship of Outside Events: Checklist

UW Sponsorship Evaluation Checklist

Initial Considerations	
\square Does the event cl	early advance the University's mission or business purpose?
☐ Is the sponsorship	aligned with the department's strategic goals?
☐ Is there a strong of	constituency interest (e.g., honoring a UW supporter, Regent, employee, or student)?
Type of Sponsorship	
Community Charitable Ev	
	ent justified by a strong community or institutional interest?
	commitment been scaled appropriately?
☐ Has prior approva	Il been obtained from the President, Provost, or Dean?
Regional/National Organi	zation Event
\square Is there a key wor	king relationship with the organization?
☐ Has prior approva	I been obtained from the President, Provost, or Dean?
Commercial Sponsorship	
\square Will UW receive of	efinable value (e.g., advertising, signage, tickets)?
☐ Are risks of suppo	rt (e.g., failure to deliver value, negative publicity) acceptable?
\square Is the transaction	properly documented with an agreement outlining benefits of sponsorship?
☐ Can assurance be	made that the sponsorship will not be recorded as a "donation"?
Political Fundraising Even No funds or other resource	t es or facilities of the University may be used to support political candidates or parties or to
	ng events. University employees may attend such events at their own expense or as guests o mitations), but institutional funds may not be used.
Internal UW Fundraising I	event
☐ Is support betwee	en departments thoughtfully limited?
☐ Is monetary supp	ort required?
☐ Could complimen	tary tickets be used instead?
Funding Source	
	at tuition dollars or student fees will not be used as a funding source?
☐ Is the funding sou	rce appropriate (e.g., discretionary gift funds)?
 If using gift f 	unds:
☐ Would th	e use of the funds this way advance UW's mission?
☐ Is it a tho	ughtful use of the funds?
☐ Would th	e donor(s) support the funds being used in such a manner?
Coordination and Dist	ribution
☐ Has the departme	ent checked for overlapping commitments with other UW units to avoid double sponsorship?
☐ Are received bene	efits (e.g., tickets, meals) distributed in line with UW asset policies?
\square Is there a clear Ur	niversity purpose for employee attendance?
☐ If personal benefi	t is high, is there a structured distribution method (a.g., award system)?

Conflict of Interest

☐ Does the decision-maker have a relationship with the organization?
☐ If yes, has the decision been delegated to someone else?

When the University receives goods or other benefits (event tickets, meals, etc.) as part of a transaction, units should be sure these items are used or distributed in the same manner as other University assets. Specifically, care should be taken to see that these items are used primarily for the benefit of the University, with only incidental, if any, private benefit for University employees, their spouses, or others. These questions often require a case-by-case examination, but this should include an honest assessment of whether the University employee (and, in some cases, spouses, or others) attending the event will be doing University work at the time. For some events, the purpose of the ticket received by the UW is to get the employee to a place where he or she is expected to perform official duties; the employee's meal and the speeches or entertainment he or she hears are truly incidental to that work. Attending such an event serves a valid University purpose. Where the ticket received by the University is for another type of event, such as attending a concert or a baseball game, a realistic assessment may conclude that the ticket's personal value to an employee would be high, while the University work to be done would be relatively minimal. Under those circumstances, it would be better to distribute the ticket as part of a structured employee award system rather than giving them out via a less formal method.

QUESTIONS?

Contact CAS Advancement Services at casadser@uw.edu